## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:06-cr-07-01
v.	HON. ROBERT HOLMES BELL
THOMAS WILLIAM GLUN,	
Defendant.	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on June 30, 2006, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant THOMAS WILLIAM GLUN entered a plea of guilty to Count One of the Second Superseding Indictment, charging defendant with Conspiracy to Distribute and to Possess with Intent to Distribute Controlled Substances, to wit: Cocaine and Marijuana, in violation of 21:846, 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(B)(ii) and 841 (b)(1)(D), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Case 2:06-cr-00007-RHB ECF No. 44 filed 06/30/06 PageID.117 Page 2 of 2

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count One of the Second

Superseding Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written

plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. It is further recommended

that defendant remain detained pending sentencing. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt,

acceptance of the plea agreement, determination of defendant's status pending sentencing, and

imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge. The clerk is directed to procure

a transcript of the plea hearing for review by the District Judge.

Date: June 30, 2006

/s/ Timothy P. Greeley

TIMOTHY P. GREELEY

United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceed-

ings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing.  $See~\mathrm{W.D.}$ 

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -